UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,204	09/22/2006	Claus Biller	305282 5778	
	7590 10/06/200 UCKETT DRAUDT		EXAMINER	
SCHUBERTST	R. 15A		MORGAN JR, JACK HOSMER	
WUPPERTAL, 42289 GERMANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		204	BILLER ET AL.			
		er	Art Unit			
		. MORGAN JR	3782			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for r Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. In statutory period will apply and only will, by statute, cause the a hs after the mailing date of this	FHIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s)</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.</li> </ol>	2b)☐ This action is on for allowance exce	ot for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) i 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from o					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 Septem Applicant may not request that any o Replacement drawing sheet(s) include 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected	nber 2006 is/are: a)  Dijection to the drawing(sing the correction is required.	) be held in abeyance. See uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		A) The last section of	(DTO 440)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviews</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/GPaper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3782

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Totani (US 2004/0258332 A1). Totani discloses a gusseted bag (Fig 1) comprised of a flexible, mutti-layer film wherein only the inner layer is fusible ([0036] and [0042]), having a bottom end, a top end, gussets on the sides (1), the bag walls being fused with the gussets and above the top edges of the gussets, the top edges of the gussets being folded over, the inner layer of the folded area being fused to itself along a first welding seam (Fig 5, 16), the outer side being sealed neighboring bag wall with a second welding seam(along edge) and the inner side of the film sealed to a wall along a third welding seam (at 17), the welding seams forming a fussed connection that includes the top edges so that the top edges are closed, the end areas being folded toward the rear wall and a closure device being a reclosable closure device (7) extending above the folded over areas across the entire bag width.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,204 Page 3

Art Unit: 3782

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Totani

(US 2004/0258332 A1) in view of Wedi et al. (US 6,398,412). Totani discloses all the

limitations of the claim except for the reclosable closure device being a three layer

closure strip having outer layers fused to an inner side of the bag walls and a central

layer separable by cohesion fracture, instead disclosing a zipper closure. Wedi et al.

disclose that a three layer closure strip having outer layers fused to an inner side of the

bag walls and a central layer separable by cohesion fracture are art recognized

equivalents to zipper closures (See Figs 3b and 3d). It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to create the bag of Totani with a three

layer closure strip having outer layers fused to an inner side of the bag walls and a

central layer separable by cohesion fracture instead of a zipper closure as taught by

Wedi et al. as they are art recognized equivalent closures.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments

Application/Control Number: 10/599,204 Page 5

Art Unit: 3782

made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02.

The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JACK H. MORGAN JR whose telephone number is

(571)272-3385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jack H Morgan Examiner

Art Unit 3782

/Nathan J. Newhouse/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3782